

### This year, gain some weight.

Enjoy 12 weeks' access for just €20 SUBSCRIBE NOW >





Enjoy 12 weeks

Subscribe >

Timekeeper reading list

More from The Economist | My Subscription

Subscribe

Log in or register

The **Economist** 

World politics | Business & finance | Economics | Science & technology | Culture | Blogs

Debate

Comment (1)

Multimedia

Print edition

**Outsiders and the Middle Kingdom** 

## Western economists and China's rise

Reprints & permissions

China's reformers took the lead, but with plenty of intellectual input from former ideological foes

Jan 7th 2017





Advertisement



Unlikely Partners: Chinese Reformers, Western Economists, and the Making of Global China. By Julian Gewirtz. Harvard University Press; 389 pages; \$39.95. To be published in Britain on January 31st.

IN 1985 James Tobin, a Nobel laureate in economics, delivered a talk at a conference in China. Mao had died less than a decade earlier and modern economic concepts, shorn of socialism, were still unfamiliar to many in the country, including the interpreter on this occasion. Struggling to find the right words, she burst into tears. Two conference participants stepped aside after Tobin spoke and, on the spot, devised the Chinese term for "macroeconomic management". Future interpreters would have it easier.

Chinese officials and academics, especially those with a reformist bent, were acutely aware of their tenuous grasp on economics at the time. Five years earlier, Deng Xiaoping, the country's paramount leader, had put it bluntly when meeting Robert McNamara, president of the World Bank: "We have lost touch with the world."

With China's economic rise now into its fourth decade, it is easy to forget how shaky its footing was at the start of its ascent. It began not just in poverty, but beset by basic uncertainty about how to develop. There was even disagreement over whether development, in so far as it entailed market forces, was the right goal.

The oft-told story is that the Communist Party forged ahead with policy experiments—"crossing the river by feeling for the stones", as the Chinese reformers' saying goes-and, little by little, found the ingredients for growth. There is much truth to

# In this section

How and why Brexit triumphed

2016's grim words of the

Western economists and China's rise

Lagos, where anything can happen

Miami Beach, the world's best parking spot

Reprints

#### Related topics

**Politics** 

Government and politics

World politics



# Turn over a new leaf. Then keep on turning.

Enrich your thinking for life with The Economist.



Enjoy 12 weeks' access for just €20

SUBSCRIBE NOW >

Follow The Economist

#### Latest updates »



An assault on democracy: Intelligence report says Putin ordered a campaign... Democracy in America | Jan 7th, 04:00



America's labour market: Wage growth surges, just in time for the Trump... Free exchange | Jan 6th, 17:13

this. But the role of Western economists in helping shape that journey is missing. "Unlikely Partners" by Julian Gewirtz, a

Asia-Pacific politics
Chinese politics

Advertisement: Replay Ad

doctoral candidate in Chinese history at Oxford (and an occasional reviewer for these pages), fills that gap. It vividly brings to life China's economic debates from Mao's death in 1976 until 1993, by which time the country's direction was clearer.

The claim is not that Westerners were responsible for China's development. A large constellation of Chinese reformers deserves the credit for that. Indeed, one of the book's virtues is that it puts the spotlight on Zhao Ziyang, the Communist Party chief who wound up under house arrest after the 1989 Tiananmen protests. Mr Zhao has been written out of official histories, but his consistent support for bold thinking was critical to China's success.

Nevertheless, to understand how China found its way, it is also necessary to recognise the influence of foreign ideas. In some cases the impact was immediate. The concept of special economic zones, which enabled coastal regions to flourish, began with a Chinese vice-premier's trip to western Europe in 1978, where he saw export-processing zones.

More often, the impact was diffuse. Academics trained in Marxist economics lapped up translated versions of Western textbooks. American professors came for weeks at a time to teach econometrics. Chinese institutions invited a succession of Western economists to give talks and then sifted through their ideas for those that were actually relevant to China.

The Chinese were most receptive to economists who themselves hailed from planned economies and understood their flaws but also knew that sudden changes were impractical. Ota Sik, from Czechoslovakia, inspired a phased-in pricing strategy in the early 1980s, whereby China gave enterprises ever more control over setting prices. The biggest star was Janos Kornai, a Hungarian economist who moved to Harvard after writing a seminal book in which he identified shortage as the chronic problem of socialism. What came to be called "Kornai fever" gripped the study of economics in China in the late 1980s, and his book sold more than 100,000 copies.

The World Bank also had a big hand in China's take-off. The bank has a tainted reputation from that era, when it was seen as pushing a "Washington consensus" agenda of liberalisation that harmed Latin America. Much less attention is paid to its subtler positions in China in the 1980s. It carried out two major studies of the economy (the first of their kind), became China's largest source of foreign capital and, responding to Chinese requests, provided reams of useful policy advice.

Mr Gewirtz's book does not attempt to provide a definitive account of China's economic rise. It dwells in the world of ideas, tracing the arc of debates. Little attention is paid to what was actually happening on factory floors or in farm fields. But it is still a gripping read, highlighting what was little short of a revolution in China's economic thought.

Reading the book today, it is tempting to conclude that China is ignoring a basic lesson from its success: that being open to foreign ideas served it so well. Under Xi Jinping, officials rail against "Western values". Yet there is also a less gloomy conclusion. China's path has never been linear: reformists and conservatives have constantly jostled for the upper hand. But voices for openness have ultimately prevailed. And the gains that China has made in its understanding of economics and, more fundamentally, in the lives of its people will not be easily undone.

• This article appeared in the Books and arts section of the print edition under the headline "Western takeaway"

Like {525 Tweet Share G+1 2

View all comments (1)

Add your comment



Podcast: The week ahead: Desperately seeking Reagan International | Jan 6th, 15:57



Orthodox Christmas: Russia's church is poised between two pasts and an...
Erasmus | Jan 6th, 15:47



Little pascals: Plastic planes will allow airlines to increase cabin...

Gulliver | Jan 6th, 15:38



**Get me out of here**: "La La Land" and its lineage of escapism during...

Prospero | Jan 6th, 15:27



Between rocks and hard places: Botswana feels the South African pinch Middle East and Africa | Jan 6th, 14:02

More latest updates »

#### Most commented



An assault on democracy Intelligence report says Putin ordered a campaign to help Trump

- 2 Spain and Catalonia: In their search for independence, Catalans can resemble Brexiteers
- **3 Congressional ethics**: How to lose votes and irritate people
- 4 British politics: Theresa Maybe, Britain's indecisive premier
- **5** The first president, slave-owner: The spectre of slavery haunts George Washington's house

Advertisement



#### Products and events

#### Test your EQ

Take our weekly news quiz to stay on top of the headlines

#### Want more from The Economist?

Visit The Economist e-store and you'll find a range of carefully selected products for business and pleasure, Economist books and diaries, and much more



**British politics**: Theresa Maybe, Britain's indecisive premier



South Africa's schools: South Africa has one of the world's worst



An assault on democracy: Intelligence report says Putin ordered

- Britain and the European Union:
   Britain's man in Brussels resigns less
  than three months before Brexit
  negotiations begin
- Spain and Catalonia: In their search for independence, Catalans can resemble Brexiteers
- Bagehot: Britain's genius is its ability to integrate newcomers
- Feint praise: Vladimir Putin wins his last round against Barack Obama
- Navigating the landscape of Brexit:
   Assessing the first six months of Theresa
   May
- Now we're talking: How voice technology is transforming computing

Advertisement

# Turn over a new leaf. Then keep on turning. Enrich your thinking

for life with The Economist.

Enjoy 12 weeks' access for just €20

SUBSCRIBE NOW

Want more? Subscribe to *The Economist* and get the week's most relevant news and analysis.

#### Classified ads













#### The Economist

Contact us

Help

My account

Subscribe

Print edition

Digital editions

Events

Jobs.Economist.com

Timekeeper saved articles

Sections **United States** Britain Europe China Asia Americas Middle East & Africa International Business & finance **Economics** Markets & data Science & technology Special reports Culture Multimedia library

Debate and discussion The Economist debates Letters to the editor The Economist Quiz

#### Blogs

Bagehot's notebook
Buttonwood's notebook
Democracy in America
Erasmus
Free exchange
Game theory
Graphic detail
Gulliver
Prospero
The Economist explains

Research and insights
Topics
Economics A-Z
Style guide
The World in 2016
Which MBA?
MBA Services
The Economist GMAT Tutor
The Economist GRE Tutor
Executive Education Navigator

Reprints and permissions

The Economist Group »
The Economist Intelligence Unit
The Economist Intelligence Unit
Store
The Economist Corporate Network
Ideas People Media
1843 Magazine
Roll Call
CQ
EuroFinance
The Economist Store
Editorial Staff
Modern Slavery Statement

View complete site index »